2020-08-18 UTG Call Agenda and Minutes

Web Meeting Info:
Join Zoom Meeting - https://zoom.us/j/7183806281?pwd=WHVnUUIkWWhhcnRaYk9sWWQyOEkvUT09 | Meeting ID: 718 380 6281 P: 370553
+1 646 558 8656 US (New York) | Find your local number: https://zoom.us/u/aciVC9RrJ6

Date
18 Aug 2020

Time
3:30PM EDT (UTC-4)

NOTE CHANGED CALL TIME!

Attendees
Jessica Bota has been designated to lead the call as Ted Klein is having technical issues

Ted Klein Jessica Bota Robert Hausam Brian S. Alper

Agenda Topics

- Review of status
- Critical items to complete
- Pilot testing
- Other longer term items

Discussion items

Call was devoted to walking Brian through the UTG process and answering questions to determine how it will fit into his use case for FHIR development. Brian may be participating in the UTG pilot with a Value Set request as a proof of concept!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1m</td>
<td>Roll call and agenda check</td>
<td>Ted</td>
<td>Call started at 3:35PM EDT with quorum reached.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15m</td>
<td>Review of status</td>
<td>Ted / Jess</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Schedule Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Tentative release 2 by end of August</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Goal is to have a completely clean build with no errors, and to have a good coremif (all active immediate content issues below done)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Latest build is down to 0 errors and 10 warnings with IG Publisher v1.1.13 after working with Grahame to get publisher errors down, additional errors fixed include</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Created missing V3 content for FHIR support (required by FHIR IGs and FHIR core)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>They were created for FHIR core but never were added to the coremif through harmonization, so were never imported into UTG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Set case sensitivity flag to 'true' for numeric Code Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Did not initially think case sensitivity would be needed for numeric Code Systems but it was breaking the publisher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Content Issues - open active tasks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Grahame has started to move v2, v3 and FHIR rooted content from the FHIR pages, will be pointed to UTG instead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Need to determine if there are issues with V3 and CDA content per Lisa and Kathleen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Work is underway to fix the import deficiency around the deprecation information in v3 (Ted)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Fix for the NUCC bad enumerated codes (&quot;N&quot; instead of &quot;X&quot;) in the HIPAA value sets (Ted/Jess)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>NamingSystem.title URI corrections for remaining systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Content Issues - pending but need fixing prior to release 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Due to a timing issue, the FHIR resources for the R5 preview site were updated with their versions after the R4.0.1 versions were imported (</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Work is underway with Grahame to fix this in UTG; not yet done</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>Version of the FHIR code systems (4.2.0 in release 1.0.0 of UTG, 4.4.0 in current FHIR ci build)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Errors in build for bad markup in descriptions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Errors in build from unknown causes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Final testing and checkout of coremif generation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Jira Workflow issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>All known issues addressed in the submitter workflow and reviewer workflows (UP project)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Curator processing of approved proposals still needs to be finalized and documented (UPSM project, Ted/Josh)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>New issues that Rob Hausam brought up:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>The Change Objects are canonical URLs and go to the published release instead of the ci build for UTG; this can be addressed by putting in the URL from the ci build of the objects so it is navigable directly DONE - see Draft a Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>Need to be clear how to see the diffs for the change details, and need to verify that reviewers can see these. If not need to find a way to surface the diffs. DONE - see Consensus Review and Voting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>Need to make clear where the current voting totals are so folks are not confused about the previous cycle vote totals. DON E - see Consensus Review and Voting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Second education Webinar complete (for HL7 community), remaining 2 in work.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>HL7 Education on Demand (free access): <a href="https://www.pathlms.com/hl7/courses/22235">https://www.pathlms.com/hl7/courses/22235</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>HL7 YouTube: <a href="https://youtu.be/iuc6PAsmVxY">https://youtu.be/iuc6PAsmVxY</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Also linked through Vocabulary Maintenance at HL7 (landing page)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>UTG Pilot underway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Six proposals are in Consensus Review, two have been Approved and implemented and released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>See Participating in UTG Pilot Testing for feedback, issues, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Need to send out a nagging email reminding folks who are in the Oversight Groups to vote!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>We have formulated the Education and Rollout plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15m</th>
<th>Governance Policy on Unification</th>
<th>Ted / Rob Hausam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Still waiting for Rob Hausam to put in his Pilot proposal to do the final unification of ObservationInterpretation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10m</th>
<th>Pilot test</th>
<th>Jess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In work, we still need additional participation. Please vote!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. There was an email chain with Lisa Nelson about a missing CDA object, but it petered out before enough information was given to be able to fix the issue. Still needs followup.
2. Kathleen also noted some issues with V3 content, but are unsure what the issue is at this time.
3. For non-HL7 content, we need to define our precise process to work through the HTA recommendations.
   a. There is an item in UTG which is not in the HTA queue of requested external terminologies to get documented. Two cases:
      i. Someone wants to use the terminology.
         1. Enter a request to the HTA queue for this terminology to be documented.
         2. HTA accepts the request and begins the work to define the information.
         3. HTA completes the work with the finalization of the HTA page for this new external terminology.
         4. Formal communication to Vocabulary WG that the terminology must be included in UTG. At the point HTA responsibility is done and Vocabulary WG has the fall.
      b. Either Reuben or Carol (the vocab cochairs who are also HTA members or liaisons) will create a UTG UP issue the new artifact. Type External and new or changed URL. Proposal description "New artifact or correction of existing to match HTA final documentation"
         6. Transition to Waiting For Input
         7. UTG team needs to examine the hidden additional fields for priority and urgency etc to see how to control this in what may end up being a gigantic list of crap to be addressed
         8. Out of time on the 8/4 call.
   b. The HTA deals with the external organizations based on requests sent to HTA. How does this get into UTG?
   c. The outcome of that HTA process is a page on the External Terminologies - Information where the outcome of the process that must be properly implemented is documented. The HTA documents the URL to be used as the canonical identifier of the terminology; note this may be created by the HTA without input from the content owner.
   d. Two different use cases:
      i. We have an existing UTG code system stub and naming system entry. Two possible cases:
         1. We have a pro-forma UTG entry created from the v2 or v3 material where there was no URL, and a temporary one was created. As it is only a v2/v3 item, this has never been used in implementations and is new as of UTG release 1.0.0
            a. This can be recognized in UTG as the canonical URL is rooted in terminology.hl7.org, and the Publisher elements says "TBD - external body"
            b. HTA creates a UTG Change Proposal to modify the existing UTG code system stub and naming system entry to be in alignment with what is documented on the HTA external terminologies page.
            c. IT then enters the UTG UP process.
         2. We have a UTG entry imported from FHIR or elsewhere that has a URL that is defined and in use in the HL7 implementation community. Two cases:
            a. The URL in use is the same as that determined by HTA and documented on their pages.
               i. HTA determines if the existing UTG information matches their documentation; if it does then done. If not then HTA creates a UTG Change Proposal to fix whatever metadata needs fixing.
               ii. It then enters the UTG UP process.
            b. The URL in use is different from what was determined by the HTA process. Two cases: **Tabled, out of time**
               i. The HTA outcome was generated by HTA as the default after no useful interaction with the publisher of the content
               ii. The tough remainder: the HTA documented URL to be used as approved or demanded by the owner of the content is different from the one currently in use. As the last vocab chairs call, in this circumstance the adjudication of the difference becomes a Vocabulary WG issue. The VWG needs to develop the process for this adjudication; it may involve other HL7 bodies such as the product line management groups, steering divisions, or TSC.
      ii. We do not have any knowledge in UTG of the external vocabulary
         1. HTA creates a UTG Change Proposal to create the code system stub and naming system pair.
         2. It then enters the UTG UP process.

---

**20m**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other longer items</th>
<th>Ted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>tabled, out of time</strong></td>
<td><strong>5m</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Need to setup the list for the items that must be addressed first once we go live</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Editing of manifests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. May be later; may be done manually for some time after go-live</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. We have a running list on Confluence of items to be addressed: <strong>UTG Project Tasks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Tasks are broken down by pre-last import, pre-initial release, post-initial release but pre-pilot, post-pilot, post-largescale rollout, then R2 and beyond R2 thus it includes all of the longer term items</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. VSAC issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Publication and maintenance for the VSAC value sets which are actually HL7 V3 value sets which have historically been maintained through harmonization and published in the coremif</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Convenience copies of content that is not IP protected by the code system owners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Access to or documentation about the rest of the value sets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. May be deferred until after mid-May</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Integration with HTA JIRA workflow for change requests for External Terminologies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Need to begin working with HTA to figure this out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Carol and Reuben are both on the HTA and they are requested to keep this discussion going in HTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIMIT/SOLOR (Keith Campbell)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Confirm in Sydney with Keith if we can make the SOLOR interface look like the loinc.org interface stuff for browsing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Need to continue discussion in San Antonio (or afterwards)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. On this week's vocabulary WG call we should decide if we want a joint call with CIMIT around these topics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. LOINC value set definitions interface for IGs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Need to check this in UTG to make sure it works the same as it does in FHIR terminology pages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. For the UTG (sourced from V2, V3, and CDA) they may not work properly; this may be a sign of an IGP issue; details unknown at this time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. There may have been a URL change from LOINC that has not yet been implemented in the IGPublisher for the value sets? Needs verification.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Need to discuss with LOINC how best to approach (post first release)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. IG terminology access in general</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. V2 IGs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. V3/CDA IGs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. FHIR IGs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. this is a longer term late 2020 or in 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Call adjourned

| Ted | Call adjourned at 4:56PM EDT. Next call is scheduled for Tuesday September 1 at 3:30PM EDT. |

Action items


