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## Short Description

Continue the testing and use of FHIR-based Quality Measures for use in Quality Measurement programs, including CMS, Gaps in Care (GIC) and Clinical Decision Support (CDS) Use Cases. The focus of this connectathon will be to:

- Test Measure Repository capabilities, including data-requirements.
- Test Measure Terminology Service capabilities, including version-specific expansion.
- Continue testing of measure content and capabilities, especially stratifiers.
- Conduct end-to-end DEQM testing and Gaps in Care testing in coordination with the Da Vinci DEQM/Gaps in Care Track.
- Test PlanDefinition apply semantics

## Long Description

The Clinical Reasoning track will also:

- **Partner with the eCR/MedMorph track to conduct public health reporting testing.**
- **Test the use of FHIR resources in alignment with FHIR R4 Implementation Guides (IG) such as QI-Core IG, Quality Measure IG and the TestScript coverage of capabilities.**
- **Test the following 2022 Reporting CMS/HEDIS Measures for FHIR R4:**
  - **Eligible Clinician (EC) Measures**
    - CMS122v10 Diabetes: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor Control (> 9%)
    - CMS124v10 Cervical Cancer Screening
    - CMS125v10: Breast Cancer Screening (also health plan level BCSE HEDIS measure)
    - CMS130v10 Colorectal Cancer Screening
    - CMS190v10 Intensive Care Unit Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis
    - CMS347v5 Statin Therapy for the Prevention and Treatment of Cardiovascular Disease
    - CMS74v11 Primary Caries Prevention Intervention as Offered by Primary Care Providers, including Dentists
  - **Eligible Hospital (EH)/Critical Access Hospital (CAH) Measures**
    - CMS104v10 Discharged on Antithrombotic Therapy
    - CMS529v2 Safe Use of Opioids - Concurrent Prescribing
    - CMS844v2 Core Clinical Data Elements for the Hybrid Hospital-Wide (All-Condition, All-Procedure) Risk-Standardized Mortality Meas.
    - **Pre-Rulemaking**
      - CMS816v1 Hospital Harm - Severe Hypoglycemia
      - CMS871v1 Hospital Harm - Severe Hyperglycemia
    - **If you are interested in testing past measures, visit:** [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DocNOIX3ZYWCzOxSHw0osI21WHx5Sea/](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DocNOIX3ZYWCzOxSHw0osI21WHx5Sea)

## Type

Testing an Implementation Guide
Submitting Work Group / Project / Accelerator / Affiliate Implementer Group

CDS/COI/DaVinci Project
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Track Lead(s)</th>
<th>Bryn Rhodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email(s)</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bryn@databaseconsultinggroup.com">bryn@databaseconsultinggroup.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Tracks</td>
<td>Care Planning, Public Health, CDS Hooks, Da Vinci DEQM Gaps in Care and Vulcan Schedule of Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHIR Version</td>
<td>FHIR R4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation Guides:

- QI-Core IG
- Quality Measure IG
- Data Exchange for Quality Measures (DEQM) IG

Terminology Servers this track uses?

- Apelon DTS, VSAC, but willing to test with any R4 FHIR Terminology Server

Specific Terminology Code Systems and Value Sets needed for this track?

- SNOMED, LOINC, RxNorm, ICD, others, specified by CMS eCQM Program measures (link to the eCQM ValueSets)

What FHIR Terminology Operations (C,R,U,D,E) are needed?

- As a summary, for pure execution, just $expand, for implementations, search by URL and Version, for authoring, CRUD. Formally, the measure terminology service capability statement:
  [https://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/cqf-mesures/measure-terminology-service.html](https://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/cqf-measures/measure-terminology-service.html)

FHIR Resources:

- Library: [http://hl7.org/implement/standards.fhir/library.html](http://hl7.org/implement/standards.fhir/library.html)
- PlanDefinition: [http://hl7.org/implement/standards.fhir/plandefinition.html](http://hl7.org/implement/standards.fhir/plandefinition.html)
- ActivityDefinition: [http://hl7.org/implement/standards.fhir/activitydefinition.html](http://hl7.org/implement/standards.fhir/activitydefinition.html)
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https://chat.fhir.org/#narrow/stream/179220-cql

Sign-up for the latest news and to be added to the kickoff calendar invite by emailing fhir@icf.com

New Attendees
If you have not previously attended a Connectathon, HL7 has recorded an orientation session that covers the basics of FHIR, how to read an IG, the I

Track Planning/Working Sessions (meeting details can be found here, under CQI Wednesday Sub-Group Calls)

Track Kickoff (meeting details can be found here, under CQI Wednesday Sub-Group Calls)

Testing Artifacts
If you plan to test during the Connectathon, you will need to be setup in both Touchstone and Connectathon Manager. Account setup instructions can
• Touchstone Testscripts: https://touchstone.aegis.net/touchstone/testdefinitions?selectedTestGrp=/FHIRSandbox/DaVinci/FHIR4-0-1-QualMeas
• Measure Content: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DocNOIX3ZYWCzOxShw00sI21WHWxSSeazrISCUcjqqs/edit#gid=852465089
• Quick start guide: https://github.com/DBCG/connectathon/wiki/Quickstart
• Authoring Walkthrough: https://github.com/alphora/cqf-ccc#setting-up

**Scenario Index:**

- Exchange Scenarios
- ValueSet Scenario
- Opioid Decision Support Scenario
- PlanDefinition $apply Scenario
- Public Health Reporting Scenario
- Group Subject Scenario
- Attribution Scenario

**Connectathon Artifacts** (recordings are posted in Whova Video Gallery. Whova FAQs)

- January 2022 Recorded Sessions
  - Building a Synthea Module for Measure Developers
  - Implementation of Vocabulary types in CQL 1.5
- September 2021 Recorded Sessions
  - QI-Core IG Review and Authoring
  - Quality Measurement and Reporting w/FHIR and CQL
  - Reusable Data Elements and Sharing Logic
  - VSAC FHIR API Roadmap
- Track Report-Out:

**System Roles (Quality Reporting)**

- **Producer** - System of record for clinical information such as an EHR
- **Consumer** - A system that aggregates data from multiple sites related to reporting quality measures such as a payer, HIE, reporting vendor or p
- **Reporter** - A system that sends quality reporting data and results
- **Repository** - A system that supports retrieval and distribution of quality measure specifications
- **Terminology Service** - A system that supports distribution of terminologies required for measure evaluation

Systems capable of playing these roles should implement the exchange and reporting scenarios as described in the Data Exchange for Quality Measures.

The Producer role should be a FHIR Server implementing at least the US Core profiles and capability statement, and ideally implementing the QI Core profiles:


The Consumer role should implement the consumer server capability statement:


The Reporter role should implement the reporter client capability statement:


The Receiver role should implement the receiver server capability statement:

http://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/davinci-deqm/CapabilityStatement-receiver-server.html

The Repository role should implement at least the Shareable Measure Repository capability statement. To participate in the Data Element submission workflow, the Repository role should implement the Publishable Measure Repository capability statement:

http://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/cqf-measures/measure-repository-service.html

The Terminology Service role should implement the Measure Terminology Service capability statement:

http://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/cqf-measures/measure-terminology-service.html

The **CQF Ruler**, a reference implementation of FHIR Clinical Reasoning based on the HAPI FHIR Server is available to either play the Consumer, Receiver or CDS Service roles, or to help guide and test implementations in preparation for the track.

A test instance of the CQF Ruler is available here: http://cqm-sandbox.alphora.com/

**Data Exchange Scenarios**

For these scenarios, the Producer and Consumer exchange the data-of-interest for a quality measure, either by push or pull

This is the EHR Reference Implementation server endpoint for the Medication Reconciliation Post Discharge use case to test the DEQM $submit-data operation -

https://api-v8-stu3.hspconsortium.org/DaVinciMRPProvider/open
This is the Payer Reference Implementation server endpoint for this use case - https://api-v8-stu3.hspconsortium.org/DaVinciMRPPayer/open

Data Element Submission Scenarios

The following diagram illustrates the overall flow for these scenarios:

Data Element Submission Scenario

1. **Setup**: Using the Knowledge Repository and Terminology Service to obtain all the necessary content to run
2. **Attribution/Selection**: Using provider attribution methods and initial population criteria to determine applicable patients
3. **Submission**: Gathering and submitting the data-of-interest using the $submit-data operation
4. **Evaluation**: Retrieving calculated performance results using $evaluate-measure
5. **Care Gaps**: Retrieving gaps-in-care information using $care-gaps

The overall steps in the scenario are:

1. **Setup**: Using the Knowledge Repository and Terminology Service to obtain all the necessary content to run
2. **Attribution/Selection**: Using provider attribution methods and initial population criteria to determine applicable patients
3. **Submission**: Gathering and submitting the data-of-interest using the $submit-data operation
4. **Evaluation**: Retrieving calculated performance results using $evaluate-measure
5. **Care Gaps**: Retrieving gaps-in-care information using $care-gaps

The following scenario descriptions are patterns for specific scenarios, for the May 2022 Connectathon we are focusing on individual push and evaluate using colorectal cancer screening measure, for complete description refer to [insert link] in the eCQM content IG.

Individual Exchange Scenarios

**Push Scenario ($submit-data)**

- **Action**: An EHR playing the role of the Producer uses the $submit-data operation to report the data-of-interest for an MRP measure
- **Precondition**: The EHR has appropriate data to produce the data-of-interest for the measure, either based on the test data, or by walking through the workflow
- **Success Criteria**: The Consumer receives the data and successfully processes the data
- **Bonus Point**: Run the operation multiple times throughout a simulated measurement period to feed incremental data of interest for the measure

**Pull Scenario ($collect-data)**
• Action: A Consumer uses the $collect-data operation to gather the data-of-interest for an MRP
• Precondition: The EHR has appropriate data to produce the data-of-interest for the measure, either based on the test data, or by walking through a simulated workflow that produces the data required
• Success Criteria: The Producer responds to the $collect-data and the Consumer is able to successfully process the response
• Bonus Point: Run the operation multiple times throughout a simulated measure period to collect incremental data of interest for the measure

Group Exchange Scenarios

For the Group Exchange scenarios, we focus on the need for payers to track status of members for a screening measure, a Colorectal Cancer Screener payer sends a request to a provider for the screening information for a group of covered patients.

These scenarios will attempt to use the Bulk Data API as specified in the FHIR specification. In particular:

• $collect-data will use bulk data to communicate the response
• $submit-data will use an implementation of bulk data import to communicate the payload of the request

Push Scenario ($submit-data)

In the push scenario, the provider - through some interface in their system - gathers the screening information for the set of patients and submits it:

• Action: An EHR playing the role of the Producer uses a batch of $submit-data interactions to report the data-of-interest for the COL measure for the set of patients
• Precondition: The EHR has appropriate data to produce the data-of-interest for the measure, either based on the test data, or by walking through a simulated workflow that produces the data required
• Success Criteria: The Consumer receives the data and successfully processes the data
• Bonus Point: The payer system uses the Bulk Data format to send the information

Pull Scenario ($collect-data)

In the pull scenario, the payer uses the $collect-data operation to request through the provider's API

• Action: A Consumer uses a batch of $collect-data interactions to gather the data-of-interest for a COL measure for a set of patients
• Precondition: The EHR has appropriate data to produce the data-of-interest for the measure, either based on the test data, or by walking through a simulated workflow that produces the data required
• Success Criteria: The Producer responds to the $collect-data batch and the Consumer is able to successfully process the responses
• Bonus Point: The payer system uses the Bulk Data format to request the information

Reporting Scenarios

For these scenarios, a Reporter and Receiver exchange the data and results of a quality measure.

Individual Report Scenario

• Action: A Reporter reports the data and results for an Individual MRP, COL, or VTE-1 measure to a Receiver
• Precondition: The Reporter has appropriate data to produce the individual MeasureReport for the measure
• Success Criteria: The Receiver receives a completed MeasureReport and verifies that it has the correct data and the calculated result is correct for the individual
• Bonus Point: Use a subscription to notify the Receiver when the report is ready for retrieval

Summary Report Scenario

• Action: A Reporter reports the results for a Summary MRP, COL, or VTE-1 measure to a Receiver
• Precondition: The Reporter has appropriate data to produce the summary MeasureReport for the measure
• Success Criteria: The Receiver receives a completed MeasureReport and verifies that it has the expected result for the population
• Bonus Point: Use a subscription to notify the Receiver when the report is ready for retrieval

Calculation Scenarios

For these scenarios, a Receiver requests the calculation of a quality measure by a Reporting system.

Individual Report Scenario

• Action: A Receiver uses $evaluate-measure to request the data and results for an Individual MRP, COL, or VTE-1 measure from a Reporter
• Precondition: The Reporter has appropriate data to produce the individual MeasureReport for the measure
• Success Criteria: The Receiver receives a completed MeasureReport and verifies that it has the correct data and the calculated result is correct for the individual
• Bonus Point: Use asynchronous result calculation (MeasureReport status = in-progress)

Summary Report Scenario

• Action: A Receiver uses $evaluate-measure to request the results for a Summary MRP, COL, or VTE-1 measure from a Reporter
• Precondition: The Reporter has appropriate data to produce the summary MeasureReport for the measure
• Success Criteria: The Receiver receives a completed MeasureReport and verifies that it has the expected result for the population
• Bonus Point: Use asynchronous result calculation (MeasureReport status = in-progress)

Group Subject Scenario
• **Action:** A Receiver uses $evaluate-measure with the subject parameter referencing a Group resource
• **Precondition:** The Reporter has appropriate data to produce the summary MeasureReport for the measure including a Group resource reference
• **Success Criteria:** The Receiver receives a completed MeasureReport and verifies that it has the expected result for the population, or errors wth
• **Bonus Point:** Expected results are captured using http://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/cqf-measures/StructureDefinition-test-case-cqfm.html

1. $evaluate-measure Subject of Patient/XXX and measureType = summary // Should error (What about a Group with only one Patient?)
2. $evaluate-measure Subject of Group/XXX and measureType = individual // Should error ??? why not individual report for each Patient in the Grp
3. $evaluate-measure Subject of Group/XXX and measureType = summary // Expected results

**Attribution Scenario**

• **Action:** Use http://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/cqf-recommendations/OperationDefinition-cpg-library-evaluate.html with subject referencing an ATR Group
• **Precondition:** Library resource with CQL that can create a Group resource for Patients which are a subset of Patients from an ATR Group resource
• **Success Criteria:** Group resource is returned with the expected set of Patients
• **Bonus Point:** The system successfully returns two separate expansions for the same valueset

(Describe CQL to return a subset of the ATR Group)

(Describe parameters for CQL that can be used to select Patients from the ATR Group, e.g., NPI of a practitioner or identifier of a location (practice site))

**Valueset Scenario**

• **Action:** A system uses $expand?url=[valueset]&version=[version]&system-version=[system-version] to request a version-specific valueset expansion
• **Precondition:** The system has valueset versions and codesystem versions loaded
• **Success Criteria:** The system receives a complete expansion of the appropriate valueset
• **Bonus Point:** The system successfully returns two separate expansions for the same valueset

Content for this scenario specifically uses the EXM125v9 and EXM125v10 measure, focusing on the differences between value set expansions between:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value Set Name</th>
<th>OID</th>
<th>v9 Version Expansion</th>
<th>v10 Version Expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral Mastectomy</td>
<td>2.16.840.1.113883.3.464.1003.198.12.1005</td>
<td>20190315 SNOMED 2019-09</td>
<td>20190315 SNOMED 2020-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammography</td>
<td>2.16.840.1.113883.3.464.1003.108.12.1018</td>
<td>20171222 Using LOINC 2.67</td>
<td>20210304 Using LOINC 2.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the Bilateral Mastectomy value set, the v10 expansion includes new codes:

- 836436008: Simple mastectomy of bilateral breasts using robotic assistance (procedure)
- 870629001: Bilateral mastectomy for female to male transsexual (procedure)

For the Mammography value set, the v10 expansion does not include CPT codes in the v9 version:

- G0202: Screening mammography, bilateral (2-view study of each breast), including computer-aided detection (cad) when performed
- G0204: Diagnostic mammography, including computer-aided detection (cad) when performed; bilateral
- G0206: Diagnostic mammography, including computer-aided detection (cad) when performed; unilateral

Use the valueSetVersion and system-version parameters to request these expansions.

TestScript resources formally describing these scenarios are provided here: https://github.com/cqframework/ecqm-content-r4/tree/master/input/tests

NOTE: The NLM's R4 FHIR Terminology Service will be available for participants to use. Use of this server requires a current UMLS license, as well. track leads with your UMLS user id so we can coordinate access to the UAT instance. Note also that the above version-specific expand scenario is c should be focused on use of the R4 ValueSet resources returned.

**BASE URI:** https://uat-cts.nlm.nih.gov/fhir/r4

This UAT Base URI is no longer included on the FHIR Terminology Service for VSAC Resources as it has become a public page that points to the prc documentation are included on the FHIR Terminology Service for VSAC Resources page.

Using the UAT base URI, instead of our production server base URI (https://cts.nlm.nih.gov/fhir/r4), allows our software engineers to respond to issues and make adjustments/corrections in real-time when possible during the connectathon.

People who have requested UAT permissions will have permissions on the UAT server: https://uat-cts.nlm.nih.gov/fhir/r4, not necessarily on the pr

**Public Health Reporting Scenario**

**System Roles (PHR)**
Clinical System - A system that deals with health data and potentially reportable events
Reporting Application - A system that focuses on identifying and submitting potentially reportable events
Receiving System - A system that receives potentially reportable events

Negative Chlamydia Screening Report Scenario

- **Action**: A Reporting Application is notified by a Clinical System of a potentially reportable event, and uses the eRSD specification to:
  - Determine the jurisdiction of residence and jurisdiction of care for the event
  - Determine whether the event is potentially reportable, given condition-specific value sets and jurisdiction-specific criteria as specified in the rule filter portion of the eRSD specification
  - If the event is potentially reportable, submit a mock eICR to the receiving system

- **Precondition**: The Clinical System has appropriate data representing a negative chlamydia screening result
- **Success Criteria**: The receiving system is notified of and receives a potentially reportable eICR for a negative chlamydia screening result
- **Bonus Point**: Demonstrate the pattern is effective for other conditions that exhibit similar potential to reduce the number of potentially reportable configuration

Decision Support Scenarios

System Roles (CDS)

CDS Service - A system that provides decision support guidance via the CDS Hooks API
CDS Client - A system that consumes decision support via the CDS Hooks API

Systems capable of playing these roles should implement the CDS Hooks API. Systems may also support ingestion of computable decision support c

PlanDefinition $apply Testing

This track will test and discuss feedback on potential simplifications of the PlanDefinition $apply semantics, including the mergeNestedCarePlans op parameter. The testbed for this scenario and associated testing content is available in the https://github.com/cqframework/pd-apply repository. Instruc

There is an option to try this in a web-UI. Go to https://smart-on-fhir-17eef.web.app/ with the feature accessible by the "$apply custom PlanDefinition.. PlanDefinitions, ActivityDefinitions, Library, and ValueSets in order to test the RequestGroup implementation using Encender. Note: your Library will r

To connect it to an EHR, we've been using https://smart-on-fhir-17eef.web.app/launch.html as the launch url, which directs us to a page to select which

Opioid Decision Support

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have issued guidelines relating to the prescription of opioids: https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/guideline.html

The Opioid Prescribing Support Implementation Guide represents several recommendations of this guideline as computable artifacts using the FHIR http://build.fhir.org/ig/cqframework/opioid-cds/ (Opioid Prescribing Support Implementation Guide) (STU3)
http://build.fhir.org/ig/cqframework/opioid-cds-r4/ (R4 version)

This scenario will focus on testing usage with the new order-select hook for recommendations #5, #10, and #11

- **Action**: The chart is opened for a patient that is currently prescribed opioids and has not had the recommended urine drug screening, so the rec
- **Success Criteria**: An EHR user is provided appropriate guidance when viewing a patient that is currently prescribed opioids
- **Bonus Point**: The logic accesses an extension as a first-class element of the model

For the purposes of this scenario, long-term opioid therapy is defined as use of opioids on most days for > 3 months, and the patient does not have m

- Current Medication List
- Problem List
- Encounter Diagnoses within 12 months

For communicating medications, the service will expect information in a MedicationRequest or MedicationStatement, with at least the following suppli

- Medication as an RxNorm code
- Dosage
- Frequency

The implementation guide provides computable artifacts describing the calculations for MME based on the CDC Guidance. The CDS Service can use scenario.

**TestScript(s)**: All testing materials can be accessed from the Connectathon GitHub Repository: https://github.com/dbcg/connectathon

**Security and Privacy Considerations**: The scenarios and reference implementations here run using open (i.e. unsecured) connections. Systems SI