

2020-11-13

Date

November 13th, 2020

Time

12:30pm - 1:15pm

Attendees

MITRE [Caroline Potteiger](#) [Salim Semy](#) [Rob Dingwell](#) [Lauren Levine](#)

ACS CAN [Mark Fleury](#)

UTSW [Brandi Cantarel](#) [Melanie Hullings](#)

BreastCancerTrials.org [Elly Cohen](#)

Action items

- ✓ Lauren - set up meeting with Caroline, Salim, Zach, and Rob.
- ✓ Salim - draft a set of questions for the UCSF consult.

Discussion notes in blue. Decisions in green. Action items in red.

Planned Agenda Topics

- **<Hold for hot topics from project team>**
 - HL7 Biomedical Research and Regulation Group agreed to sponsor this project!
- **Past Action Items 11/06**
- **CoP next week - need to reschedule.**
- **Engagement Update**
 - TrialJectory - meeting scheduled for 11/12.
 - Ciitizen - met with them on 11/12
 - 1) Test how well the optimized patient data elements filter clinical trials. Run a match with only optimized patient data elements and compare with comprehensive patient record match.
 - 2) mCODE-enable the matching service and cancer card.
 - TriNetX - [internal discussions](#)
 - Inspirata - continuing discussions.
 - Could work with UCSF dataset. Inspirata has an NLP engine that could work with unstructured data.
 - Informa - acquired TrialScope. Conversation scheduled for next week.
 - [Mark spoke with them a few weeks ago. They have a private trial database. Can understand what trials the competition is running. Previously mentioned they were not interested in searching for clinical trials.](#)
 - [Meeting next week for site engagement.](#)
 - [RXL CRO showed interest. Mark following up.](#)
- **Phase 1 Update**
 - Working on IRB approvals for UTSW (Phase 1B) and MITRE/Cancer Insights (Phase 1A)
 - Phase 1A -
 - MITRE IRB has been re-submitted and DUA has been approved.
 - Phase 1B -
 - Melanie is working on the submissions.
 - MITRE is working on the DUA.
 - Massive Bio - in progress.
 - [Getting initial results, but they need some work.](#)
 - [Lauren - set up meeting with Caroline, Zach, Rob,](#)
 - UCSF - consult is occurring next week.
 - [What mechanisms exist for us to access the data under CodeX with multiple organizations? Other CodeX use cases? Prefer to not to create individual DUAs.](#)
 - [Salim - draft a set of questions to ask \(legal, what data exists\).](#)
- **Phase 1 Analysis**
 - ACS CAN intern
 - Fellow was hired - [starts on Monday](#)
 - [For any DUAs being signed, she would need to see the data.](#)
 - [Trying to figure out how to structure DUAs so it's not just between organizations, but under CodeX as a whole so everyone on the team can view the data.](#)
 - [Help write protocols, review data, analyze data, etc.](#)

- Plan for analysis?
 - Potential elements to add - measurable disease (bone metastasis is not eligible), line of therapy
 - Potential elements to remove - elements that may not be readily available (histology, biomarkers)
 - If something is not present, how do we know it's because it's absent or it just wasn't recorded?
 - Caroline meeting with spec team next week.
- Salim/Caroline met with statistician on 11/12.
- Our analysis on how many "true matches" there are is going to depend on how many total matches come back
 - 1 match in 5 = good filtering, 20 matches in 100 = bad filtering
- Patient data elements we add must be populated by both clinical trials and what's available from the patient record.
- False negatives are ones we really want to eliminate and make sure they don't happen.