

2020-04-01 Meeting Agenda



Chair: @Bryn Rhodes

Scribe: @Bryn Rhodes

NOTE: This attendance applies if you are present at the related meeting/call, regardless if you have signed a different attendance for your WG.

Attendees

Present	Name	Affiliation
	Abdullah Rafiqi	
	Chris Moesel	
	Dennis Patterson	
	Bryn Rhodes	
	Gayathri Jayawardena	
	Guilherme D. Fiol	
	Howard Strasberg	
	Isaac Vetter	
	Jennifer Seeman	
	@Joe Bormel	
	Khalid Shahin	
	Lorraine Doo	
	Matthew Burton	
	Peter Muir	
	Robert Samples	
	Robert Jenders	
	Thomson Kuhn	
	@Tom Reese	
	Yanyan Hu	
	Floyd Eisenberg	
	Ricardo Quintano	
	Rob McClure	

Minutes Approved as Presented

X

 This is to approve minutes via general consent. "You have received the minutes. Are there any corrections to the minutes? (pause) Hearing none, if there are no objections, the minutes are approved as printed."

Agenda Topics

Agenda Outline	Agenda Item	Meeting Minutes from Discussion	Decision Link(if not child)
Management	Minutes Approval	2020-03-25 Meeting Agenda	
Methodology	Virtual WGM Planning 2020-05 "San Antonio" WGM Specifically <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Virtual Connectathon • WGM Business • Joint Sessions w/ Arden, CQI, Pharmacy, BR&R, SOA, FHIR-I, PC, O&O, CIMI • Project Updates for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical Reasoning • CPG-on-FHIR • EBM-on-FHIR • CDS Hooks • FHIRCast • PDDI • CQL • QUICK, QI-Core • Ballot reconciliation for CQL 	<p>Can we schedule some additional times during the WGM to coordinate and provide updates that would have occurred during WGM</p> <p>Robert: Is there any direction from HL7 on this topic?</p> <p>Floyd: No specific direction, left up to the discretion of the WGs. Perhaps looking at existing WG calls and coordinating joint participation</p> <p>Howard: That helps, but would it make sense to extend the regularly scheduled WGM on the 20th for example? (Probably not 12 hours of calls, but probably need more than just the hour</p> <p>Floyd: Reasonable, but scheduling will be a challenge. CQI will be discussing on Friday, suggest that at least CQI and CDS join each other's calls</p> <p>Howard: Yeah, that makes sense as well</p> <p>Floyd: Ballot topics won't be available until closer to the call</p> <p>Robert: Take the overall scheduled and turn it in to a grid that we can use to assign slots, making sure we cover all the bases</p> <p>Action: Extend WGM on the 20th and plan on cross participation between CDS and CQI</p> <p>Floyd: There are regularly scheduled joint sessions (like PC on Tuesday afternoon) perhaps suggest that those types of sessions still occur?</p> <p>Rob Samples: Potentially different in preparation, perhaps some extra scheduled meetings</p> <p>Dennis Patterson: There's a thread on Zulip for this: https://chat.fhir.org/#narrow/stream/179207-connectathon-mgmt/topic/Virtual.20May.20connectathon</p> <p>Discussions there have suggested a 3 day non-stop connectathon, with a Zoom meeting going the whole time for overall sessions as well as breakouts</p> <p>Isaac: Yes definitely will participate, no idea what the best format is, but will definitely be participating</p> <p>Dennis: As a proposed track lead, definitely participating and will have support for CDS Hooks Track</p> <p>Chris Moesel: Evaluating whether it makes sense to participate, if it makes sense, we (CDS Connect project) would like to participate. One question is what the actual "connect" part of the connectathon would look like? Would we still have the same level of access to vendor/participant systems as we would normally have at the connectathon? Would we need to set up VPNs or anything like that?</p> <p>Dennis: Our stance with participation is that the testing platforms are publicly accessible anyway, so as long as participant endpoints are publicly accessible, should be fine.</p> <p>2020-05 CDS Hooks Track</p> <p>2020-05 Clinical Reasoning</p> <p>Yanyan: I missed information on connectathon but interested in participation</p>	
	CDS Hooks Patient View Ballot Reconciliation	<p>FHIR-25763 - Proposal to add episodeOfCareId in the Patient context. EpisodeOfCare can be pulled in if needed from the EncounterId. Could also consider for future use, once the EpisodeOfCare resource reaches a higher level of maturity.</p> <p>Floyd: Two questions: An encounter can include a telephone/telemedicine encounter</p> <p>Isaac: Encounter scope does include virtual encounters, relevant point for CDS Hooks is that the CDS Service can retrieve the episodeOfCare through the encounterId</p> <p>Floyd: Wonder if what they're asking for is whether the episode of care used the encounter to happen</p> <p>Rob: Don't link to them because they aren't baked</p> <p>FHIR-25766 - Ensure that the input for user, patient Id and encounter are all tested and valid</p>	
	EBM-on-FHIR Items: https://jira.hl7.org/browse/FHIR-26652		

	CPG-on-FHIR Ballot Reconciliation		
Management	Next agenda <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued discussion on VWGM and connectahon 		
Adjournment		Adjourned at 1:00PM ET	

Supporting Documents

Outline Reference	Supporting Document
Minute Approval	

Tasks