

2019-10-24 InM WG Agenda/Minutes



Date: 2019-10-24 InM WG Agenda/Minutes

Facilitator: [Anthony Julian](#)

Note Taker: [Anthony Julian](#)


Attendees

Present	Name	Affiliation
X	Anthony Julian	Mayo Clinic
X	Nick Radov	United Healthcare
	Sandra Stuart	Kaiser Permanente
X	Ulrike Merrick	Vernetzt, LLC
X	Craig Newman	Altarum

Create Decision from template

Agenda Topics

Agenda Outline	Agenda Item	Meeting Minutes from Discussion	Decision Link(if not child)
Management	Minute Approval	- approve by general consent	
Methodology	Batch Message Structure	<p>Batch message structure in V2.9:</p> <p>ARV segments were inadvertently added to the message structure, that would be redundant to FHS and BHS; provide more content to this and post the email thread to the page; 2.14.2.17 – do we need to remove some text referencing ARV fields in BHS-17 does this apply to the ARV in this message structure, or in the individual ARV segments in the messages or is the ARV fields reference more a conceptual notion in this context? And fix typos for FSH-15 through FSH-17 – should be FHS-15, FHS-16 and FHS-17 – also fix any instances of FSH and BSH typos</p> <p>Get Kathleen to review and update the text for BHS-17 and FHS-17 if needed – approve by Riki, Craig, no further discussion: against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 3</p>	

Methodology	Approve Harmonization	 <p>2019Nov_InitialH...3_PostINMOO.docx</p> <p>Remove the comment on the image sort order, approve for initial submission, bring back before final deadline for any adjustments needed for the previous specimen ID - approve by Riki, Craig, no further discussion: against: 0, abstain: 0, in favor: 3</p>	
Methodology	V2 Quality	<p>Review content from Craig.</p> <p>v2_IG_Qual_Crit_R1.docx</p> <p>Introduction was copied from CDA QA – seems to fit nicely</p> <p>V2 Quality Criteria</p> <p>In CDA they use criteria for SHALL rules and Best practice = SHOULD – copied over from confluence site</p> <p>What about the rules of profiling? Already have a statement there – Rob S was going to update the confluence content – so ask him to do the changes in this document instead</p> <p>Will need NIB for this on next call = 11/7</p> <p>Will distribute to InM and V2Mgmt</p>	
Methodology	Alerts	<p>PSS for Notifications (formerly known as Alerts)</p> <p>Notifications (formerly known as Alerts) main page - needs some updating Ulrike Merrick to do.</p> <p>http://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/davinci-alerts/branches/master/index.html Alerts IG</p> <p>FHIR messaging implementation was tested at connectathon in Atlanta. Also met with PH WG who is doing unsolicited messaging in FHIR.</p> <p>Allow project team to work on the artifacts – bring back to this WG call for content review prior to ballot opening and also need NIB on the 11/7 call</p> <p>Ulrike Merrick to draft email and send to INM listserve to make group aware – note the expected changes before ballot content freeze on 11/17.</p>	
Management	Next agenda		
Adjournment		Adjourned at 2:58 PM EDT	

Supporting Documents

Outline Reference	Supporting Document
Minute Approval	2019-10-10 InM WG Agenda/Minutes
Batch Message Structure	Approve removal of ARV from Batch structure
Approve Harmonization	Approve proposal 2019Nov_InitialHarm_INM-01_HL70203_PostINM
Alerts	

Action items

□

