

2019 ARB San Antonio WGM Minutes

Date: 2019-01-17

Facilitator: [Anthony Julian](#)

Note Taker: [Anne Wizauer](#)

Attendees

	Name	Affiliation
x	Lorraine Constable	Constable Consulting Inc.
	Mario Hyland	AEGIS
x	Anthony Julian	Mayo Clinic
x	Paul Knapp	Pknapp Consulting
x	Wayne Kubick	HL7 CTO
x	Patrick E. Loyd	ICode Solutions
	Lynch, Cecil	Accenture
	Zoran Milosevic	Deontik Pty Ltd
	Andy Stechishin	CANA Software and Service Ltd.

Guests

	Name	Affiliation
	Hugh Glover	
	Jean Duteau	
	Marc Duteau	
	Mary Kay McDaniel	
	Vassil Peytchev	

Create Decision from Template

Agenda Topics

Agenda Outline	Agenda Item	Meeting Minutes from Discussion	Mover /Seconder	Vote
Management	Minute Approval			
Methodology	Things that came up this week. <ul style="list-style-type: none">PSS Proposal from Ron P.	Reviewed proposal - "Characteristics of Realm Transferable Standards Specifications." Do we think this is a good idea that should be turned into a project? It addresses criteria needed to make something transferable across realms. Group agrees this would be a useful project. Will distribute the link to a page in Confluence, have people comment, and then Tony will create the PSS.		

	Substantive change - Paul Knapp	<p>We have a ballot on normative track that has a balloter concerned that some of the items within the ballot are too fresh to be considered normative - would rather see those items as STU. If we took a few sections of that ballot and changed the status of them to STU, would that be substantive change? Making it non-normative would be changing the status of that section and is a scope change. Could only let the rest go forward if it was completely independent of the section that drops a level. Can we demote those sections to comment or STU and publish it, or would making that change require us to re-ballot it with those sections marked "non-normative?" Need clarification about section 02.06.03 of the Essential Requirements to see how it can be applied. Paul suggests you could publish it identifying the level of the content without ripping it out. Reviewed definition of substantive change. The first path is to do a recirculation ballot to allow the members of the ballot pool to say if the negative balloter was correct. Another path is to re-ballot it at another level. Karen has said that this would be a substantive change and would require re-ballot.</p> <p>Outcome: Paul will follow up with Karen.</p>		
	Other business and planning	N/A		
	Agenda until next WGM.	TBD		
	When do we meet next WGM?	Sunday Q2 with SGB; Monday Q2 and Thursday Q3		
Management	Nominations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hugh Glover • Chirag Bhatt • Jean Duteau • Jeff Brown <p>Lorraine reports there is potential interest from Ron Parker to be a member. Tony proposes that people come on board for a couple of meetings and decide if they want to participate. Lorraine notes that everyone's membership was up at the end of the WGM since we extended the dates. Should let the people go who haven't been attending, although a couple are in a gray area. Decision that Wayne suggest to TSC that we extend the active members for one more cycle and discuss the new member criteria against the nominees on an upcoming call. Active members have been Lorraine, Tony, Zoran, Paul, Andy.</p>		
	Next agenda	2019-01-29 4:00 pm Eastern		
Adjournment		Adjourned at 3:01 pm Central		

Supporting Documents

Outline Reference	Supporting Document
Minute Approval	

Open JIRA:

key summary type created updated due assignee reporter priority status resolution



Jira project doesn't exist or you don't have permission to view it.

[View these issues in Jira](#)

Action items

- [Anthony Julian](#) 21 Jan 2019 to create PSS for Characteristics of Realm Transferable Standards
- [Anthony Julian](#) 21 Jan 2019 Schedule Telcons for 3:00pm Eastern
- [Paul Knapp](#) to follow up with Karen on substantive change issue re: demoting material in Normative ballot to STU