

sIRB Project

[PSS Help and FAQs](#)

[Comments](#)

| | |
|--|--|
| 1a. Project Name | sIRB Project |
| 1b. Project ID | |
| 1c. Is Your Project an Investigative Project (aka PSS-Lite)? | No |
| 1d. Is your Project Artifact being Reaffirmed or proceeding to Normative directly after being either Informative or STU? | No |
| 1e. Today's Date | |
| 1f. Name of standard being reaffirmed | N/A |
| 1g. Project Artifact Information | |
| 1h. ISO/IEC Standard to Adopt | |
| 1i. Does the standard include excerpted text from one or more ISO, IEC or ISO/IEC standards, but is not an identical or modified adoption? | |
| 1j. Unit of Measure | |
| 2a. Primary/Sponsor WG | Biomedical Research & Regulation |
| 2b. Co-Sponsor WG | Clinical Interoperability Council |
| 2c. Co-Sponsor Level of Involvement | Request periodic project updates; specify period in text box below (e.g. 'Monthly', 'At WGMs', etc.) |
| 2b. Co-Sponsor WG 2 | FHIR Infrastructure |
| 2c. Co-Sponsor Level of Involvement | Request periodic project updates; specify period in text box below (e.g. 'Monthly', 'At WGMs', etc.) |
| 2c. Co-Sponsor 2 Update Periods | Monthly, at WGMs, ETC |
| 2d. Project Facilitator | Anita Walden and Ed Hammond |

| | |
|--|--|
| 2e. Other Interested Parties (and roles) | <p>National Institute of Health-NCATS Will provide guidance on project direction and scope</p> <p>Federal Drug Administration (FDA): conduct audit of the sites to evaluate the methodology of using FHIR to collect data for clinical research.</p> <p>Vanderbilt will participate on pilot demonstration project</p> |
| 2f. Modeling Facilitator | Michael Rutherford |
| 2g. Publishing Facilitator | James Topping |
| 2h. Vocabulary Facilitator | Julie James |
| 2i. Domain Expert Representative | Meredith Zozus |
| 2j. Business Requirements Analyst | Anita Walden |
| 2k. Conformance Facilitator | Michael rutherford |
| 2l. Other Facilitators | Ed Hammond (Jean Duteau)- FHIR Facilitator |
| 2m. Implementers | <p>Duke University</p> <p>University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences</p> <p>Vanderbilt University</p> |
| 3a. Project Scope | <p>We will develop, test and evaluate data standards to move data and documents from clinical research sites to a single ethics review board in support of the "NIH Policy on the Use of a Single Institutional Review Board for Multi-Site Research." The scope of this project will consist of two phases.</p> <p>Phase I – While some standards exist and will be leveraged, significant gaps remain. We will perform an analysis identifying and addressing standards gaps to support comprehensive data and document exchange between sites and sIRBs and providing for re-use of data and documents within clinical studies. Stakeholders from the public and private sectors will vet the analysis through the IRB vendors administrators using the balloting process.</p> <p>Phase II - Develop standards, prototype and demonstrate automated single internal review board (sIRB) data and document exchange. Where needed the following standards will be developed. Existing FHIR resources will be utilized when appropriate, FHIR documents to support the following data collection and exchange (1) Structured (IRB) Reliance Agreement based on National IRB reliance models, (2) Study protocols, (3) Structured recruitment materials and (4) Structured informed consent documents and (5) Reportable medical (Unanticipated events, Adverse Events and Serious Adverse Events) and non-medical events and (6) Continuing Review and Final Progress Report form. FHIR resources will be utilized when appropriate. Implementation Guides will be created.</p> <p>We will review and consider existing standards such as ICSR, other Adverse Event standards (CDISC, ICH E2B) and BRIDG.</p> |
| Attachments | |
| 3b. Project Need | <p>The Common Rule was updated and the necessary standards do not exist to support required data exchange. The vast majority of federally funded research in the United States will require use of a single IRB and will be impacted by the new sIRB Policy. Currently, independent IRB reviews involve redundant work at the local site and local IRB level and inviting the potential for conflicting decisions, requirements and oversight. The new NIH sIRB policy changes this by eliminating the redundancy and opportunity for conflicting reviews, ultimately increasing the efficiency of clinical studies. The creation and implementation of standards will support the new policy. These standards are needed to maintain the rigor of the ethics review while reducing regulatory burden and research costs.</p> <p>Funding provided by National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS)</p> |
| 3c. Security Risk | No |
| 3d. External Drivers | Federal Policy Change- The Common Rule |

| | |
|--|---|
| 3e. Objectives/Deliverables and Target Dates | Submit Project Scope Statement -2019 May 23 Gap analysis between needs and existing standards- 2019 March Development of Implementation Guide/s- 2020 February Notification of Intent to STU Ballot of Implementation Guide- 2019 December Ballot Implementation Guide STU- 2020 Jan/May Ballot Reconciliation- 2020 Jan/May Request for Publication- 2020 June Project End Date (all objectives have been met)- 2020 June |
| 3f. Common Names / Keywords / Aliases: | sIRB Project |
| 3g. Lineage | N/A |
| 3h. Project Dependencies | N/A |
| 3i. HL7-Managed Project Document Repository URL: | https://confluence.hl7.org/display/BRR/sIRB+Project+Page |
| 3j. Backwards Compatibility | N/A |
| 3k. Additional Backwards Compatibility Information (if applicable) | |
| 3l. Using Current V3 Data Types? | N/A |
| 3l. Reason for not using current V3 data types? | |
| 3m. External Vocabularies | Unknown |
| 3n. List of Vocabularies | |
| 3o. Earliest prior release and/or version to which the compatibility applies | |
| 4a. Products | FHIR Extensions, FHIR Implementation Guide, FHIR Profiles, White Paper |
| 4b. For FHIR IGs and FHIR Profiles, what product version(s) will the profiles apply to? | Not Sure at this Time |
| 4c. FHIR Profiles Version | |
| 4d. Please define your New Product Definition | |
| 4d. Please define your New Product Family | |
| 5a. Project Intent | White Paper, Implementation Guide (IG) will be created/modified |
| 5a. White Paper Type | Non-balloted WG White Paper |
| 5a. Is the project adopting/endorsing an externally developed IG? | |
| 5a. Externally developed IG is to be (select one) | |

| | |
|---|---|
| 5a. Specify external organization | |
| 5a. Revising Current Standard Info | |
| 5b. Project Ballot Type | STU to Normative |
| 5c. Additional Ballot Info | |
| 5d. Joint Copyright | No |
| 5e. I understand I must submit a Joint Copyright Letter of Agreement to the TSC in order for the PSS to receive TSC approval. | no |
| 6a. External Project Collaboration | National Institute of Health |
| 6b. Content Already Developed | |
| 6c. Content externally developed? | |
| 6d. List Developers of Externally Developed Content | |
| 6e. Is this a hosted (externally funded) project? | Yes |
| 6f. Stakeholders | Regulatory Agency, Standards Development Organizations (SDOs) |
| 6f. Other Stakeholders | |
| 6g. Vendors | Pharmaceutical |
| 6g. Other Vendors | |
| 6h. Providers | Healthcare Institutions (hospitals, long term care, home care, mental health), Other |
| 6h. Other Providers | Academic Medical Centers (clinical sites) Electronic Data Capture vendors eIRB System vendors, IRB accreditors, IRB Reliance Organizations, Commercial IRBs |
| 6i. Realm | U.S. Realm Specific |
| 7d. US Realm Approval Date | Jun 18, 2019 |
| 7a. Management Group(s) to Review PSS | FHIR |
| 7b. Sponsoring WG Approval Date | May 21, 2019 |
| 7c. Co-Sponsor Approval Date | May 07, 2019 |
| 7c. Co-Sponsor 2 Approval Date | May 16, 2019 |
| 7c. Co-Sponsor 3 Approval Date | |
| 7c. Co-Sponsor 4 Approval Date | |
| 7c. Co-Sponsor 5 Approval Date | |

