



PACIO Weekly Meeting

Time: Wednesday, June 23, 2021, 1:30 pm - 3:00 pm ET

Location: ZoomGov Meeting

Meeting ID: 160 985 6747

PACIO Project Website: www.PACIOproject.org

PACIO Project HL7 Confluence: <https://confluence.hl7.org/display/PC/PACIO+Project>

This meeting is being recorded. In all discussions, members should be aware that meetings are recorded and include representatives of companies that may compete with one another in the marketplace. This working group is a public forum and therefore all information shared will be publicly available.

Summary

1) Leadership Update (Lynda Hoeksema)

- PACIO leadership encouraged members to register for the July 20-22, 2021 CMS Connectathon (virtual).
 - Registration is **free** and closes on July 1st. Register at: <http://www.hl7.org/events/cms/>
 - The schedule includes educational sessions about FHIR and policy on July 20. The full Connectathon schedule can be found at: <https://confluence.hl7.org/display/FHIR/CMS+2021+-+07+Connectathon+2>
 - The PACIO Track will focus on Re-assessment Time Points (July 21). Additional information is available at: <https://confluence.hl7.org/display/FHIR/CMS2021-07+PACIO+Re-assessment+Timepoints>
 - PACIO Leadership reminded members to fill out the Connectathon survey sent after registration completion. This assists Track Leads with planning.

2) SPLASCH Update (Jamila Harley)

(Speech, Language, Swallowing, Cognitive Communication, and Hearing)

- The next SPLASCH sub-group meeting is scheduled for June 29.
 - Members can access additional information, including meeting summaries here: <https://confluence.hl7.org/display/PC/PACIO+Project+SPLASCH+-+Speech%2C+Language%2C+Swallowing%2C+Cognitive+Communication%2C+and+Hearing>



PACIO

- Reviewed the swallowing functional goal writing the ICF model and explained how the subgroup will use this model to develop the SPLASCH use case.
- One PACIO member expressed support in using the ICF model and asked for continued discussions during upcoming weekly meetings to better understand how this approach may be applied to other IGs.

3) Presentation on ADI and Genetics/Genomics work (Juby George-Vaze)

- Presented an overview of DNA and genomics research, including current and future uses, existing and recommended regulations, and potential ways to incorporate use of DNA into the existing ADI use case.
 - <https://confluence.hl7.org/display/PC/Meeting+Index?preview=/86977192/116460638/2021%20and%20Beyond%20For%20Advanced%20Directives%20by%20Juby%20George-Vaze.pptx>
- Contact Juby (Juby.Georgevaze@globexhealth.com) with any questions about this presentation or potential collaborations.

4) Advance Directive Interoperability (ADI) Update (Corey Spears and Maria Moen)

- ADI Tech Team Update:
 - Members can access the presentation at: <https://confluence.hl7.org/display/PC/ADI+%28Adv+Dir+Interop%29+in+FHIR+Materials?preview=/97470690/116460361/2021-06-23-ADI%20Tech%20Update.pptx>
 - Reviewed the revised ADI Notice of Intent to Ballot (NIB) timeline, with the following goals:
 1. The HL7 Patient Empowerment Work Group will aim to submit the NIB by October 31st.
 2. The ADI subgroup will prepare for a January 2022 ballot cycle while investigating if it is possible to participate in a sooner, off-cycle ballot cycle.
 - Discussed the outstanding ADI Major Tasks including estimated work effort, expected benefit, and whether each item is a “must do” for a STU-1 ballot.
- The subgroup discussed the inclusion of a person’s wishes regarding the use of tissues for genomic research. Juby George-Vaze provided a shortened version of the presentation to the subgroup that she provided to the PACIO group today. The ADI subgroup agreed it is important to discuss the importance of visionary personal statements in future iterations of the IG and use case after STU1.
- The ADI subgroup also is considering how to appropriately package and exchange ADI data using existing FHIR Resources. Especially when concepts, such as the goals, preferences, and priorities (GPP), may be expressed in different ways in different instruments. The subgroup is investigating whether to consider GPPs as person-authored “mini-care plans”



PACIO

using the eCare Plan Resource and the best method for exchanging that data.

5) Re-assessment Timepoints Update (Chris Pugliese)

- Provided a high-level overview of the Re-assessment Timepoint use case and walked through the draft IG (<https://paciowg.github.io/re-assessment-timepoints-ig/>).
- The subgroup revised five key data points in the Encounter Resource:
 - Added a more limited Timepoint Status Value Set compared to the existing Encounter value set.
 - Created an extensible list of five values in the Timepoint Entity Type Value Set, including Payer, Regulatory – State, Regulatory – Federal, Provider, and Accreditation Agency.
 - Identified three instruments to include in the Timepoint Service Type Value Set meant to drive the timepoint: MDS, OASIS, and an option for any other Physical Therapy Assessment that an implementor can include that is specific to their platform of care.
 - Changed BasedOn from referencing service request to ClinicalImpression. The subgroup is still discussing how ClinicalImpression relates to other existing PACIO IGs.
 - Included more specific direction within ReasonCode to primarily use ICD, but SNOMED may be used if necessary.
- Introduced two field definition questions for discussion with the PACIO group:
 - Class field definition:
 1. Class is a required field with its own existing code set which defines Home Health Agencies, but not Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNF). The closest definition for SNF is Inpatient Non-acute, but the subgroup is concerned this definition is not applicable to SNFs.
 2. The subgroup requested initial feedback from the PACIO workgroup on whether the broader definition for Inpatient Non-Acute captures the post-acute care facilities or if a new definition(s) should be proposed.
 3. The PACIO workgroup agreed the definition for Inpatient Non-acute is too broad and there is a need for specific definitions to link to the varying PAC environments.
 4. The subgroup leadership will add this question to the parking lot and continue the conversation after the July CMS Connectathon.
 - Period field definition:
 1. The subgroup revised the definition to address “timepoint” instead of “encounter”, however, they asked the PACIO workgroup whether there is a more detailed definition to include.
 2. The current definition is “The start and end date/time of the timepoint”.



PACIO

3. The PACIO workgroup agrees there are different time periods for different reasons, such as a time period for providers to complete clinical re-assessments, and a time period for payers for various reasons. Each of these re-assessment timepoints should be considered.
 - PACIO leadership provided an update regarding plans for the upcoming July CMS Connectathon, including a review of the four draft scenes within the use case and the data flow diagram.
 - Workgroup members expressed concern that the information captured in this use case is only Standardized Patient Assessment Data Elements within PAC assessments, and that there is more clinical information that should be transferred. PACIO leadership explained the subgroup is focusing more on a demonstration of the process of exchanging information during the July Connectathon and not the content exchanged. However, the subgroup will follow up with the PACIO workgroup after the July Connectathon to ensure the IG can exchange all necessary content in future use cases.
 - Refer to the CMS Connectathon Confluence page (<https://confluence.hl7.org/display/FHIR/CMS2021-07+PACIO+Re-assessment+Timepoints>) for additional details on the PACIO Re-Assessment Timepoints track.

6) Documentation of Decisions and Action Items

- No notable decisions or action items were addressed today.

7) Adjourn