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The Patient Empowerment Work Group promotes and amplifies the viewpoint of patients and their caregivers in HL7’s standards work, in support of the HL7 mission.

Our Initial Priorities:

• Corrections to Errors in the Record IG
• Patient-Contributed Data white paper
• Care Planning
• Consents
• Advance Directives Interop IG

bit.ly/hl7patients
The Volume and Impact of Errors is **Staggering**!

**Independent Studies Found:**

- Up to **95%** of medication lists had mistakes
- **84%** of progress notes contained at least one documentation error
- An average of **7.8 documentation errors per patient**
- Medical errors are the **3rd leading cause of death** in US
  (many deaths caused by missing/incorrect data)
2020 Open Notes Study

These clinicians KNEW patients could/would be reading their notes

- **29,656** patients responded to survey
- **1 in 5** patients reported finding a mistake in their note
- **40%** perceived the mistake as **serious or very serious**.
  - Most common **very serious errors**:
    - mistakes in diagnoses
    - medical history
    - medications
    - physical examination
    - test results
    - wrong patient
    - wrong sidedness
Conclusions

The findings suggest that inviting patients to report perceived mistakes in shared visit notes, particularly those that patients believe are very serious, may be associated with improved record accuracy and patient engagement in diagnosis. Developing efficient mechanisms to respond to such reports appears to be important. At a time when patient demand for data is increasing along with federal support for providing patients easy access to health information, shared notes may help enlist patients, families, and practitioners in pursuing greater patient safety collaboratively.
Ability to Access is Incongruent with Ability to Correct

**Access** Electronic Health Information
- Standards based
- Modern technology – Restful API
- Interactive – innovative personal health record functionality designed for ease of use.
- Scalable across multiple settings.

**Correct** Electronic Health Information
- Non-standard
- Work intensive
- Low tech (fax! mail! phone!)
- Outside of the workflow
- Not interactive
- Does not scale
Goal: Create a FHIR Implementation Guide so patients/caregivers can use their apps to:

• Request corrections to their records
• Conduct back-and-forth conversations between Requester and Fulfiler
• Track the status through to completion

Contact project leads:

• Debi Willis  debi@MyPatientLink.com
• Virginia Lorenzi  vlorenzi@nyp.org
Project Status

**Began:** Summer 2020

**3 Connectathons:** January, May, Sept 2021

**IG Status:** Draft to be tested this connectathon

**Ballot:** Considering April 2022

**Needs:** More stakeholder participation, especially EHR vendors
Read the Draft IG:
https://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/fhir-patient-correction/index.html

Visit us on Confluence:
https://confluence.hl7.org/display/PE/Patient+Corrections

Join our Weekly Calls: Mondays 4 ET/3PM CT
http://www.hl7.org/concalls/CallDetails.cfm?concald=57967
Goal for Connectathon 29

• Use the Draft Implementation Guide and Test 3 Scenarios:
  1. Patient Requests Correction and it is accepted
  2. Patient Requests Correction and it is denied
  3. Patient Logs a Disagreement to a correction denial
Bob reviews his medical records from Southside Clinic. He notices that he is still listed as an everyday smoker. However, Bob no longer smokes. Bob uses his patient app to request a correction to his chart.

The medical records department receives the request and asks Bob a clarifying question (“When did you quit smoking”). Bob views the question on his app and responds.

He is also able to use the app to track the status of his request through multiple statuses through to completion.

Bob receives the concluding amendment report.
Testing Scenario 2: Patient Request is Denied

• Bob reviews his medical records from Southside Clinic. He notices that he is still listed as an everyday smoker. However, Bob no longer smokes. Bob uses his patient app to request a correction to his chart.

• The medical records department receives the request and asks Bob a clarifying question (“When did you quit smoking”). Bob views the question on his app and responds.

• He is also able to use the app to track the status of his request through multiple statuses through to completion.

• Bob receives the denial report which indicates he has a right to log a disagreement.
Abstract Model - Request For Correction Denied

1. Notice a problem
2. Request a Change
3. Check records
4. [Dialog (status, clarifications, etc.)]
   - Please clarify
   - Here is the clarification
5. Decide to deny the correction
6. Change denied

Correlation:
- CorrectionRequester
- RequestFulfiller
Bob disagrees with a prior amendment denial. He uses his patient app to communicate his disagreement.

The medical records department receives the disagreement and reviews it, ultimately determining not to amend his record and issues a rebuttal.

Bob is able to use the app to track the status of his disagreement through multiple statuses through to completion.

Bob receives the denial and rebuttal through his patient app.
Abstract Model - Disagreement on a Denied Correction

CorrectionRequester → RequestFulfiller

Previously had a Change Denied

Send a Disagreement

Record Disagreement

Based on the arguments and data,
we decide not to change the record

Rebuttal Provided

Record Not Changed

CorrectionRequester ← RequestFulfiller

frown
Special Focus of This Connectathon

- Test of $correction-request operation
- Test search commands
- Test updating and displaying of Business Statuses for the correction Task
- Test the Log Disagreement workflow

- New participants – whatever they can do
Overview of Implementation Guide

https://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/fhir-patient-correction/index.html

Special Thanks to John Keyes and John Moehrke – Our IG Editors
### Actors – Roles Played in Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CorrectionRequester</td>
<td>The CorrectionRequester represents a patient’s application, such as a personal health record. A patient or their caregiver uses the application to request a correction to their medical record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RequestFulfiller</td>
<td>The RequestFulfiller represents a provider system such as an EHR. A Medical Records professional or clinician uses the provider system to review and process the correction request.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$correction-request</td>
<td>The $correction-request operation is used to communicate a request for correction from a requester to a fulfiller. It includes the ability to send the request along with attachments. The fulfiller can spawn a task to manage the correction process as part of the operation. For the operation, we expect the server to store the Communication resource, spawn a task when appropriate, and update the Communication and return the Communication resource with reference to a Task id if a Task is spawned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INPUT: Correction Request Bundle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTPUT: Correction Request Communication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient Correction Communication</td>
<td>A Communication resource is used to initiate the Request for Correction or Log Disagreement. Additional Communication resources are used to represent any back and forth conversation between Requester and Fulfiller.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Correction Task</td>
<td>A Task is spawned on the Fulfiller when a Request for Correction or Log Disagreement Communication is received and represents the process for fulfilling the request. The Task can be queried for status and overall information on the process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### The New Bundle Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient Correction Bundle</td>
<td>The Patient Correction Bundle contains a Patient Correction Communication and other resources related to a patient request for correction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Required:** A Communication resource
Restful Interaction

Restful Correction Request Process

CorrectionRequester

Send a new Correction Request

RequestFulfiller

Invoke $correction-request operation (Bundle) Bundle with a new Communication

Create Task using internal processing

return created Communication resource with pointer to new Task in Communication about

alt

When more information is needed
then the Task status is updated and
a new Communication is created that asks for the additional information

update Task status and create new Communication

poll (query) for any changes to Task status and for any new Communication.

alt

Correspond with Fulfiller to respond to request

Invoke $correction-request operation (Bundle)

When the request is fulfilled (agreed or rejected) then
the Task status is updated and
a new concluding Communication is created.

update Task status and create new Communication with denial or accept/amend query for concluding Task and Communication.
Multiple Communications – 1 Task
(2nd Task created for a Disagreement)

Please correct error in record – details attached

When did you quit

Last Year

Record corrected – amendment report attached

Multiple Communication Resources Represent the Conversation

A Task Resource represents overall process including state changes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task.status</th>
<th>Task.businessStatus (Code)</th>
<th>Task.businessStatus (Display)</th>
<th>Task.businessStatus Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ready</td>
<td>Queued</td>
<td>Queued</td>
<td>a request to correct a record or log a disagreement has been received by the Fulfiller (eg Provider) but has not yet been reviewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in-progress</td>
<td>In_Review</td>
<td>In Review</td>
<td>Review is in progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in-progress</td>
<td>WaitingOnInformation</td>
<td>Waiting On Information</td>
<td>The Fulfiller (eg. Provider) is waiting on additional information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cancelled</td>
<td>NeverMind</td>
<td>Cancelled</td>
<td>The request has been cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in-progress</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>Accepted</td>
<td>Decision was made to accept the correction request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in-progress</td>
<td>PartialAccept</td>
<td>Partial Accept</td>
<td>Part of the correction request was accepted, and part was denied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completed</td>
<td>AmendmentCompleted</td>
<td>Amendment Completed</td>
<td>The record has been amended (corrected).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completed</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td>The request has been denied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completed</td>
<td>DisagreementLogged</td>
<td>Disagreement Logged</td>
<td>The Fulfiller (eg Provider) has logged the requester’s (eg patient’s) disagreement with the correction request denial.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completed</td>
<td>InformRebuttalOption</td>
<td>Inform Rebuttal Option</td>
<td>Fulfiller has The Fulfiller (eg Provider) has logged the requester’s (eg patient’s) disagreement with the correction request denial, and provided a formal rebuttal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correction Communication Profiles
Mandatory and Must Support Fields

- **status:** fixed to “completed”
- **category:** indicates whether it’s a “Request for Correction” or “Disagreement with Denial” process
- **subject:** the person whose record is to be corrected
- **sender:** who is sending this communication
- **recipient:** who is receiving this communication
- **sent:** date/time communication was sent
- **topic:** a heading/subject line for the message being sent. Could be thought of as the subject line in an email thread.
- **about:** When the initial Communication request for correction resource is created by the Requester, 
  Communication.about will be empty. When the Fulfiller spawns a Task to support the request, the Fulfiller sets
  Communication.about to reference the spawned Task that represents the entire request for correction or log
  disagreement process.
  On all other Communication resources, Communication.about references the Communication resource that
  contained the initial request.
  When a Disagreement Task is created, the Fulfiller will update the Disagreement Communication such that
  Communication.about references the Disagreement Task as well as the original correction request Communication.

- **inResponseTo:** points to the prior Communication resource in a conversation thread.
The Patient Correction Task Profile
Mandatory and Must Support Fields

- **id**: An id
- **status**: status combined with businessStatus provide the state of the process
- **businessStatus**: status combined with businessStatus provide the state of the process
- **intent**: fixed to “order”
- **code**: indicates whether it’s a “Request Correction” or “Log Disagreement” process
- **for**: the patient whose record is to be corrected
- **requestor**: the person who asked for the correction on behalf of the patient in Task.for.
- **authoredOn**: date/time when the request was received on the Fulfiller side
- **lastModified**: date/time of last update to the process.
- **input**: points to the Communication resource containing the original patient correction or log disagreement request.
- **output**: points to the Communication resource containing the resolution of the request
- **reasonReference**: if the Task represents a disagreement, points to the Task containing the original request for correction process.
Step 1: Original request sent by patient to fulfiller.

Original Request for Correction:
“Please correct my record – I do not smoke”
Communication.about=aaa

Task Spawned

Task spawned by server

Request for Correction Process
Task.input=111

When the Fulfiller spawns a Task, the Fulfiller writes the reference to the task into the original Communication.about

Task.input references the Original Communication
Step 2: Fulfiller sends question to patient

Requester Communication (communication #1)
(Ex. Orig Comm=111)
Original Request for Correction:
“Please correct my record – I do not smoke”
Communication.about=aaa

Fulfiller Communication (communication #2)
(This communication=def)
Additional Info Requested:
“When did you quit smoking?”
Communication.about=111
Communication.inResponseTo=111

Communication.about always references the Communication resource that contained the initial request (except comm #1).
Communication.inResponseTo always references the prior Communication resource in a conversation thread (except com #1).

In this example, about and inResponseTo will reference the same communication.
Step 3: Requester answers question

Requester Communication (communication #1)

(Ex. Orig Comm=111)

Original Request for Correction:
“Please correct my record – I do not smoke”
Communication.about=aaa

Fulfiller Communication (communication #2)

(This communication=222)

Additional Info Requested:
“When did you quit smoking?”
Communication.about=111
Communication.inResponseTo=111

Requester Communication (communication #3)

(This communication=333)

Additional Info Given:
“Last Year”
Communication.about=111
Communication.inResponseTo=222

Communication.about references the Communication resource that contained the initial request. Communication.inResponseTo references the prior Communication resource in a conversation thread.
Step 4: Fulfiller notifies patient that correction is made

Fulfiller Communication (communication #4)

(This Comm=444)
“Your Correction was Accepted and Your Record Was Amended”

Communication.about=111
Communication.inResponseTo=333

Task Updated

(Ex. Task = xyz)
Request for Correction Process
Task.input=111
Task.output=444

When the Fulfiller completes the Task, Fulfiller adds reference to the final communication in Task.output
Original Request for Correction: “Please correct my record – I do not smoke”

Additional Info Requested: “When did you quit smoking?”

“Your Correction was Accepted and Your Record Was Amended”

Additional Info Requested: “Last Year”
When request for correction is denied, patient can send a disagreement. A new task is then spawned.

Patient sends Disagreement communication. Communication.about references orig communication.

Fulfiller spawns a New Task. The Fulfiller writes the reference to the task into the Disagreement Communication.about

Task.input references the Disagreement Communication resource

Task.reasonReference references the original Task
Step 4: Fulfiller notifies patient that correction is still rejected

Fulfiller Communication (communication #6)

(This Comm=666)
“Your Disagreement was considered but the amendment was not made.”
Communication.about=111
Communication.about=bbb
Communication.inResponseto=555

Request for Correction Process
Task.input=555
Task.output=666

When the Fulfiller completes the Task, Fulfiller adds reference to the final communication in Task.output
Logging of Disagreement:
“I do not agree with the results of my correction request”

“Your Disagreement was considered but the amendment was not made. Attached is a rebuttal explaining why”

Original Request for Correction:
“Please correct my record – I do not smoke”

“Your Correction was not accepted. You have the right to disagree”
Track Details:

- https://confluence.hl7.org/display/FHIR/2022-01+Patient+Request+for+Corrections

Find us in Whoova:

- Patient Request for Corrections Track

Follow the track chat:

- https://chat.fhir.org/#narrow/stream/179262-patient-empowerment/topic/Connectathon.2029.20.20Patient.20Request.20for.20Corrections

Read the draft Implementation Guide:
https://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/fhir-patient-correction/index.html
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Patient Correction Track Schedule

• **Monday Jan 3**
  • Track Orientation 3PM CT

• **Monday Jan 10**
  • 3-4 PM CT: Kickoff and Logistics

• **Tuesday Jan 11**
  • 9:30-10:30 AM CT: Morning Check-In
  • 1-2 PM CT: Mid-day check-in
  • 4:30-5:30 PM CT: End of day Wrap-Up

• **Wednesday Jan 12**
  • 9:30-10:30 AM CT: Morning Check-In
  • 2:00-3:30 PM CT: Finish testing/summarize results
  • 3:45-4 PM CT: Track Highlights on main connectathon session
Will you Join Us? What role will you play?

• **Clients (CorrectionRequestors):**
  • MaxMd
  • Timon Grob
  • Others?

• **Servers (RequestFulfillers):**
  • David Hay
  • Others?

• Other
• Do you have any questions?

• Always feel free to reach out to the track coordinators
  • Debi Willis  debi@MyPatientLink.com
  • Virginia Lorenzi  vlorenzi@nyp.org

or message us on Zulip!